	Case 2:13-cv-01269-JCM-VCF Docume	ent 6 Filed 08/01/14 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	PETER MUNOZ, JR.,	2:13-CV-1269 JCM (VCF)
9	Plaintiff(s),	
10	v.	
11		
12	STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,	
13	Defendant(s).	
14		
15	ORDER	
16	Presently before the court are the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Ferenbach.	
17	(Doc. #4). No objections have been filed even though the deadline for filing objections has passed.	
18	Upon considering a "notice of appeal" and several attached documents submitted by	
19	petitioner Peter J. Munoz, Jr., (doc. # 1), Magistrate Judge Ferenbach recommended that this action	
20	be dismissed with prejudice because this court lacks the authority to review final judgments of a state	
21	court. (Doc. # 4).	
22	This court "may accept, reject, o	r modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
23	recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to	
24	a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de novo	
25	determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made."	
26	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
27		
28		
James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge		

## Case 2:13-cv-01269-JCM-VCF Document 6 Filed 08/01/14 Page 2 of 2

1	Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all
2	of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985).
3	Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate
4	judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United States v.
5	Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the
6	district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); see
7	also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's
8	decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any
9	issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's
10	recommendation, then this court may accept the recommendation without review. See, e.g.,
11	Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation
12	to which no objection was filed).
13	Nevertheless, this court finds it appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine
14	whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the recommendation
15	and underlying briefs, this court finds good cause appears to ADOPT the magistrate judge's findings
16	in full.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Ferenbach (doc. # 4) are ADOPTED in their entirety.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED with prejudice. The clerk is instructed to enter judgment accordingly and close the case.

DATED August 1, 2014.

23

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge